

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/539,049	Applicant(s) ABBOTT ET AL.	
	Examiner M. Louisa Lao	Art Unit 1621	

All Participants:

(1) Thomas Bailey.

(2) M. Louisa Lao.

Date of Interview: 18 December 2007

Status of Application: active

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Atty was asked to clarify his elected species. Atty elected on page7 claim 27 compounds 20 and 21

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) _____